



Almanah 2011.: akutni koronarni sindrom. Časopisi nacionalnih društava predstavljaju odabrana istraživanja koja predstavljaju napredak u kliničkoj kardiologiji

Almanac 2011: acute coronary syndromes. The national society journals present selected research that has driven recent advances in clinical cardiology

Charles Knight*, Adam D. Timmis

*Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry London Chest Hospital, London, Ujedinjeno Kraljevstvo
Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry London Chest Hospital, London, United Kingdom*

SAŽETAK: Ovaj pregledni članak ističe najnovija dostignuća u epidemiologiji, dijagnozi, stratifikaciji rizika i liječenju akutnog koronarnog sindroma (AKS). Sama količina novih studija odražava robustno stanje globalnog kardiovaskularnog istraživanja, a cilj je prikazati rezultate koji su od interesa za kliničku praksu kardiologa.

Učestalost i stope smrtnosti infarkta miokarda (IM) se smanjuju, što je vjerojatno posljedica promjena životnog stila, naročito prestanka pušenja, i poboljšanja farmakološkog i intervencijskog liječenja. Troponini i dalje ostaju u ključni za postavljanje dijagnoze, a novi testovi visoke osjetljivosti dodatno snižavaju pragove detekcije i poboljšavaju ishode. Dodatna dijagnostička vrijednost ostalih cirkulirajućih biomarkera ostaje nejasna, a za stratifikaciju rizika pokazali su se korisnim jednostavnim kliničkim algoritmi, poput GRACE ljestice.

Primarna perkutana koronarna intervencija (PCI) s minimalnom odgodom liječenja predstavlja najučinkovitiju strategiju reperfuzije kod akutnog infarkta miokarda s ST elevacijom (STEMI). Radijalni pristup je povezan s manjom učestalosti krvarenja od femoralnog pristupa, no ishodi se čine identičnima. Manualna trombektomija ograničava distalnu embolizaciju i veličinu infarkta, dok stentovi koji luče lijek smanjuju potrebu za daljnjim postupcima revaskularizacije. Lezije koje nisu vodeće se najbolje rješavaju elektivno, kao dogovorni postupak, po učinjenoj primarnoj PCI. Razvoj antitrombotičkih i antiagregacijskih lijekova za primjenu kod primarne PCI se i dalje nastavlja, uz nove indikacije za fondaparinux i bivalirudin te inhibitore glikoproteina IIb/IIIa. Ako primarna PCI nije dostupna na vrijeme, fibrinolitičko liječenje preostaje kao opcija, no strategija rane angiografske procjene preporuča se za sve pacijente.

Infarkt miokarda bez elevacije ST segmenta (NSTEMI) je sada dominantan fenotip i ishodi nakon akutne faze su znatno lošiji nego za STEMI. Mnogi pacijenti s NSTEMI ostaju suboptimalno liječeni te postoji mnogo novih članaka koji pokušavaju definirati najučinkovitiju antitrombotičku i antiagregacijsku terapiju za ovu skupinu pacijenata. Koristi od ranog invazivnog liječenja za većinu pacijenata nisu sporne, no optimalno vrijeme zahvata i dalje ostaje neriješeno.

Kardiološka rehabilitacija se preporuča kod svih pacijenata s akutnim IM, no učestalost uključivanja u program je i dalje razočaravajuća. Kućni programi su učinkoviti i mogu biti prihvatljiviji za mnoge pacijente. Dokazi za korist od promjene životnog stila i farmakoterapije za sekundarnu prevenciju

SUMMARY: This overview highlights some recent advances in the epidemiology, diagnosis, risk stratification and treatment of acute coronary syndromes. The sheer volume of new studies reflects the robust state of global cardiovascular research but the focus here is on findings that are of most interest to the practising cardiologist.

Incidence and mortality rates for myocardial infarction are in decline, probably owing to a combination of lifestyle changes, particularly smoking cessation, and improved pharmacological and interventional treatment. Troponins remain central for diagnosis and new high-sensitivity assays are further lowering detection thresholds and improving outcomes. The incremental diagnostic value of other circulating biomarkers remains unclear and for risk stratification simple clinical algorithms such as the GRACE score have proved more useful.

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with minimal treatment delay is the most effective reperfusion strategy in ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Radial access is associated with less bleeding than with the femoral approach, but outcomes appear similar. Manual thrombectomy limits distal embolisation and infarct size while drug-eluting stents reduce the need for further revascularisation procedures. Non-culprit disease is best dealt with electively as a staged procedure after primary PCI has been completed. The development of antithrombotic and antiplatelet regimens for primary PCI continues to evolve, with new indications for fondaparinux and bivalirudin as well as small-molecule glycoprotein (GP)IIb/IIIa inhibitors. If timely primary PCI is unavailable, fibrinolytic treatment remains an option but a strategy of early angiographic assessment is recommended for all patients.

Non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) is now the dominant phenotype and outcomes after the acute phase are significantly worse than for STEMI. Many patients with NSTEMI remain undertreated and there is a large body of recent work seeking to define the most effective antithrombotic and antiplatelet regimens for this group of patients. The benefits of early invasive treatment for most patients are not in dispute but optimal timing remains unresolved.

Cardiac rehabilitation is recommended for all patients with acute myocardial infarction but take-up rates are disappointing. Home-based programmes are effective and may be more acceptable for many patients. Evidence for the benefits of lifestyle modification and pharmacotherapy for secondary prevention continues to accumulate but the argument for omega-



su i dalje prisutni, dok je argumente za suplemente s omega-3 masnim kiselinama, nakon nedavnih negativnih studija, sada teško održati. Implantabilni kardioverter-defibrilatori štite pacijente s teškom formom IM od iznenadne smrti, no za primarnu prevenciju bi trebali biti temeljeni na mjerenjima ejekcijske frakcije lijeve klijetke kasnije (oko 40 dana) nakon početne kliničke slike, budući da njihova ranija implementacija ne pokazuje dobrobit u smanjenju smrtnosti.

KLJUČNE RIJEČI: akutni koronarni sindrom, akutni infarkt miokarda, liječenje, smrtnost.

3 fatty acid supplements is now hard to sustain following recent negative trials. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators for patients with severe myocardial infarction protect against sudden death but for primary prevention should be based on left ventricular ejection fraction measurements late (around 40 days) after presentation, earlier deployment showing no mortality benefit.

KEYWORDS: acute coronary syndrome, acute myocardial infarction, therapy, mortality.

CITATION: Kardio list. 2011;6(12):367-382.

Učestalost i pojavnost bolesti

Trendovi pojavnosti globalne epidemije koronarne bolesti srca variraju prema regiji, no u većini razvijenih zemalja smrtnost se smanjuje.¹ Promjene životnog stila doprinijele su ovom smanjenju — u najnovije vrijeme implementacija zabrane pušenja u mnogim zemljama već je doprinijela značajnom smanjenju akutnih koronarnih događaja.² Pušenje, značajan trombogeni stimulans, predstavlja glavnu determinantu za akutni infarkt miokarda s elevacijom ST-segmenta (STEMI)³, a nedavna analiza od Kaiser Permanente iz Kalifornije (gdje se zakoni zabrane pušenja strogo provode) pokazala je 62% smanjenje učestalosti STEMI između 1999. i 2008. dok se učestalost akutnog infarkta miokarda bez elevacije ST-segmenta (NSTEMI) povećala za 30%.⁴ Ukupno je registrirano smanjenja broja hospitalizacija akutnih koronarnih sindroma (AKS) unatoč snižavanju dijagnostičkog praga osjetljivih troponinskih biomarkera.⁵ Ovo je popraćeno poboljšanjem 30-dnevne smrtnosti prilagođene dobi i spolu sa 10,5% u 1999. na 7,8% u 2008. godini. Povećana učestalost intervencijskog liječenja je nesumnjivo doprinijela poboljšanim ishodima, no paralelno poboljšanje liječenja stabilizacijom plaka visokim dozama statina su također značajni⁶ budući se ranjivi fibroateromi tankog krova obično javljaju na mjestima koji su često udaljena od arterije povezane s infarktom miokarda (IM) i nisu povezani s težinom stenoze.^{7,8}

Dijagnoza

Definicije za dijagnozu AKS su međunarodno dogovorene, a temelje se na mjerenju vrijednosti troponina uz prisutnost simptoma te elektrokardiografskih ili funkcijskih kriterija.⁹

Troponini

Nalaz promjene koncentracije troponina u prva 24 sata, uz bar jednu vrijednost iznad granice normale, ključna je za dijagnozu akutnog infarkta miokarda (AIM). Sad su dostupni visoko-osjetljivi testovi za troponin koji omogućavaju značajno snižavanje praga detekcije. Prethodna studija je procjenjivala četiri visoko-osjetljiva testa kod 718 pacijenata sa sumnjom na AKS, od kojih je 17% imalo AIM. Dijagnostički učinak je bio izvrstan, površina ispod ROC krivulje (mjera točnosti testa) je bila u rasponu od 0,95 do 0,96, u odnosu na 0,90 kod standardnih testova.¹⁰ Kardiološki ishodi i kliničko liječenje procijenjeni su u novijoj studiji u kojoj je troponin I visoke osjetljivosti izmjeren kod 1.038 pacijenata sa sumnjom na AKS.¹¹ Vrijed-

Incidence and mode of presentation

Temporal trends for the global coronary epidemic vary by region but in most developed countries mortality is in decline.¹ Lifestyle adjustments have contributed to this decline — most recently, the implementation of comprehensive smoke-free legislation in many countries that has already caused significant reductions in acute coronary events.² Smoking, a potent thrombogenic stimulus, is a major determinant of ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI)³ and a recent analysis from Kaiser Permanente in California — where smoke-free legislation is strictly enforced — showed a 62% decline in STEMI between 1999 and 2008 while non-ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) increased by 30%.⁴ Overall, there was a 24% reduction in hospitalizations for acute coronary syndromes (ACS) despite lowering of diagnostic thresholds by sensitive troponin biomarkers.⁵ This was accompanied by improvement in the age- and sex- adjusted 30-day mortality from 10.5% in 1999 to 7.8% in 2008. Increasing rates of interventional management no doubt contributed to the improved outcomes but parallel increases in plaque-stabilising treatment with high-dose statins must also have played a role⁶ because vulnerable thin-cap fibroatheromas, often remote from the infarct-related artery and unrelated to stenosis severity, are the sites at which recurrent plaque events usually occur.^{7,8}

Diagnosis

Diagnostic definitions of ACS are internationally agreed based on troponin release and symptomatic, electrocardiographic, or functional criteria.⁹

Troponins

Demonstration of a changing troponin concentration in the first 24 h with at least one value above the decision limit is central to the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Now available are high-sensitivity troponin assays permitting significant reductions in the threshold for detection. An early study evaluated four high-sensitivity assays in 718 patients with suspected ACS, 17% of whom had AMI. Diagnostic performance was excellent, the area under the receiver operator curves ranging from 0.95 to 0.96 compared with 0.90 for the standard assay.¹⁰ The implications for cardiac outcomes and clinical management were assessed in a more recent study in which high-sensitivity troponin I was measured in 1038 patients with suspected ACS.¹¹ Values below the previous limit of detection



nosti ispod prethodne granice detekcije (0,20 ng/ml) — konvencionalno smatrane “normalnima” — pokazale su povezanost sa smrtnim ishodom i ne-fatalnim IM, uz stope od 7% i 39% za vrijednosti troponina <0,05 ng/ml, odnosno 0,05-0,19 ng/ml. Kad su istraživači spustili dijagnostički prag na 0,05 ng/ml kod daljnjih 1.054 pacijenata, komunicirajući s liječnicima, rizik od smrti i ponovljenog infarkta miokarda kod pacijenata s vrijednostima troponina 0,05-0,19 ng/ml je smanjen sa 39% na 12%. Istraživači su zaključili da snižavanje dijagnostičkog praga kliničkom primjenom visoko-osjetljivih troponinskih testova ima potencijal identificiranja mnogih visokorizičnih pojedinaca sa sumnjom na AKS te dovodi do značajnog poboljšanja prognoze.

Drugi dijagnostički biomarkeri

Studije koje procjenjuju nove biomarkere za ranu dijagnozu IM su bile predmet nedavne sistematske ocjene.¹² Kvaliteta ovih studija je često bila slaba te je svega 16% dalo informacije o dodatnoj vrijednosti u usporedbi s ostalim dijagnostičkim podacima. Primjerice, čini se da je mioglobin koristan za isključivanje IM u prvih 6 sati, no dokazi da donosi dodatnu vrijednost kliničkim simptomima, EKG i testiranju troponina su ograničeni. Od novih dijagnostičkih biomarkera, ishemijski modifikirani albumin i srčanog proteina koji veže masne kiseline (H-FABP) su se na početku pokazali obećavajući, no meta-analiza je već zaključila da H-FABP ne ispunjava zahtjeve potrebne za ranu dijagnozu kada se koristi kao samostalan test te traži dokaze o dodatnoj vrijednosti kliničkoj procjeni drugih dijagnostičkih testova.¹³

Brza dijagnoza uz pacijenta primjenom panela biomarkera

Dvije nedavne studije su procijenile imaju li paneli biomarkera specifičnu ulogu u ranoj dijagnozi IM u hitnoj službi, a obje su koristile brze testove za troponin I, kreatin kinazu-MB (CK-MB) i mioglobin. RATPAC je okupio 2.243 pacijenata sa sumnjom na IM i randomizirao ih na standardnu obradu ili procjenu panelima po zaprimanju u hitnu službu te 90 minuta nakon toga.¹⁴ Procjena pomoću brzih testova povezana je sa 32% “uspješnih” (bez povratka s težim koronarnim epizodama) otpusta iz hitne službe, u usporedbi s 13% za standardnu obradu; nije bilo utjecaja na korištenje bolničkih kreveta. Međutim, podstudija za proučavanje dijagnostičke učinkovitosti pojedinačni kardioloških biomarkera i njihove točnosti za konačnu dijagnozu AIM je pokazala da brzi testovi za mioglobin i CK-MB ne pružaju dodatne dijagnostičke informacije u usporedbi s troponinom I u ranoj dijagnozi ili isključivanje IM.¹⁵ ASPECT je bila opservacijska studija s uključenih 3.582 pacijenta kod kojih je alat za procjenu nazvan ADP, koji se sastojao od bodovanja po TIMI ljestvici zajedno s panelom brzih dijagnostičkih testova i EKG zapisom, identificirao 352 pacijenta kao niskorizična.¹⁶ Samo tri pacijenta su doživjela težu kardiovaskularnu epizodu, što ADP čini visoko osjetljivim alatom za isključivanje mogućnosti IM kod niskorizičnih pacijenata, što odražava negativna prediktivna vrijednost od 99,1%. Međutim, u studiji ASPECT nije bilo kontrolne skupine, niti je bilo analize dodatne vri-

(0.20 ng/ml) — conventionally considered ‘normal’ — showed graded association with death or non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI), with rates of 7% and 39% for troponin concentrations of <0.05 ng/ml and 0.05-0.19 ng/ml, respectively. When the investigators lowered the diagnostic threshold to 0.05 ng/ml in a further 1054 patients, communicating troponin values to clinicians, the risk of death and recurrent MI in patients with troponin concentrations 0.05-0.19 ng/ml was reduced from 39% to 12%. The investigators concluded that lowering the diagnostic threshold by clinical application of high-sensitivity troponin assay has the potential to identify many high-risk individuals with suspected ACS and produce major improvements in their prognosis.

Other diagnostic biomarkers

Studies evaluating new biomarkers for the early diagnosis of MI have been the subject of a recent systematic review.¹² The quality of these studies has often been poor with only 16% providing any information about incremental value compared with other diagnostic data. Myoglobin, for example, appears to be useful to rule out MI in the first 6 h but evidence that it adds value to clinical symptoms, ECG and troponin testing is limited. Of the new diagnostic biomarkers, ischaemia-modified albumin and heart-type fatty acid-binding protein (H-FABP) showed initial promise, but already a meta-analysis has concluded that H-FABP does not fulfil the requirements needed for early diagnosis when used as a stand-alone test and called for evidence that it adds to clinical evaluation and other diagnostic tests.¹³

Point-of-care diagnosis with a panel of biomarkers

Whether biomarker panels have a specific role for early diagnosis of MI in the emergency room has been evaluated in two recent studies, both using a point-of-care panel of troponin I, creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB) and myoglobin. RATPAC recruited 2243 patients with suspected MI and randomised them to standard care or panel evaluation on admission to the emergency room and 90 min later.¹⁴ Point-of-care panel evaluation was associated with a 32% rate of ‘successful’ (no re-attendance with major coronary events) discharge from the emergency room, compared with 13% for standard care; hospital bed use was unaffected. However, a substudy to examine the diagnostic efficiency of the individual cardiac markers and their accuracy for the final diagnosis of AMI showed that point-of-care panel of biomarkers and CK-MB did not provide further diagnostic information over that provided by troponin I for early diagnosis or exclusion of MI.¹⁵ ASPECT was an observational study of 3582 patients in which an accelerated diagnostic panel (ADP) of TIMI score, coupled with the point-of-care panel of biomarkers and ECG findings, identified 352 as low risk.¹⁶ Only three of these patients went on to experience a major adverse cardiac event, making the ADP a highly sensitive rule-out for MI in low-risk patients, as reflected by a negative predictive value of 99.1%. However, there was no control group in ASPECT, nor an analysis of the incremental value offered by individual components of



jednosti kroz individualne komponente panela biomarkera. Stoga se, na temelju RATPAC analize podskupina, jasno čini da troponin ostaje najkorisniji biomarker za dijagnozu IM u hitnoj službi i trenutni dokazi su nedovoljni da bi podržali primjenu panela biomarkera.

Elektrokardiogram

Smjernice preporučaju hitnu reperfuzijsku terapiju prema protokolu za STEMI kod pacijenata sa sumnjom na IM i blokom lijeve grane (LBBB). Međutim, retrospektivna analiza 892 pacijenata u Registru STEMI s Mayo klinike, je pokazala da je od 36 pacijenata s novim LBBB, svega njih 12 (33%) kao konačnu dijagnozu imalo AIM.¹⁷ Ovi podaci pokazuju da je LBBB ograničeno dijagnostičko sredstvo kod sumnje na IM te pruža temelj za novu dijagnostičku strategiju kod ove visokorizične skupine. Također, visokorizični su i pacijenti s AIM uzrokovanim okluzijom lijeve prednje silazne koronarne arterije (LAD). Izvješće kako bi ovo moglo biti povezano s prepoznatljivim EKG nalazom je sada potvrđeno kod serije od 35 pacijenata koji su podvrgnuti primarnom PCI LAD, od kojih su svi pokazali depresiju ST-segmenta na J-točki uz uzlazne ST-segmente i visoke, simetrične T-valove u prekordijalnim odvodima 12-kanalnog EKG.^{18,19} Autori preporučaju da bi ovaj EKG nalaz kod pacijenata kod kojih se sumnja na IM trebao potaknuti trijažu na neposrednu reperfuzijsku terapiju.

Slikovni prikaz

Ehogardiografija daje najpristupačniji slikovni prikaz kod dijagnoze AIM, identificirajući novonastale abnormalnosti regionalnog gibanja zida lijeve klijetke. Nedavno je opisana nova dijagnostička primjena kod identificiranja pacijenata s NSTEMI koji imaju potpunu koronarnu okluziju.²⁰ Kod takvih pacijenata, cirkumferencijalno naprezanje mjereno unutar 1 sat od prijma je od neovisnog dijagnostičkog značaja, a vrijednosti $\geq 10\%$ pokazuju 90% osjetljivost i 88% osjetljivost na angiografsku koronarnu okluziju. Autori predlažu da bi se mjerenje naprezanja u akutnoj fazi NSTEMI moglo koristiti u trijaži pacijenata za neposrednu reperfuzijsku terapiju.

Stratifikacija rizika

Rizik od smrti i drugih ishemijskih događaja kod pacijenata s AKS znatno varira prema dijagnostičkim fenotipovima. Objektivni kriteriji za kvantifikaciju rizika sada se sve više koriste za usmjeravanje liječenja i određivanje prognoze.

Klinički čimbenici

U svakodnevnoj kliničkoj praksi liječnici intuitivno koriste kliničke čimbenike. Poznato je da se rizik povećava s godinama i da postoje značajne razlike vezano uz spol — primjerice mlade žene sa STEMI imaju 15-20% veći rizik smrtnog ishoda od muškaraca.²¹ EKG kriteriji²² i rutinski biokemijski nalazi također se koriste za stratifikaciju rizika, ishod bolesti se pogoršava s hiperglikemijom pri prijmu, a čini se također i s hipoglikemijom pri prijmu.^{23,24} Iako se li-

the biomarker panel. Based on the RATPAC subgroup analysis, therefore, it seems clear that troponin remains the most useful biomarker for diagnosis of MI in the emergency room and current evidence is insufficient to advocate biomarker panels for this purpose.

Electrocardiogram

Guideline recommendations are for urgent reperfusion therapy according to STEMI pathways in patients with suspected MI presenting with left bundle branch block (LBBB). However, a retrospective analysis of 892 patients in a Mayo Clinic STEMI registry, found that of the 36 who presented with new LBBB, only 12 (33%) had a final diagnosis of AMI.¹⁷ These data show that LBBB is of limited diagnostic utility in suspected MI and provide a case for new diagnostic strategies in this high-risk group. Also at high risk are patients with AMI caused by proximal left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) occlusion. A report that this may be associated with a distinct ECG pattern has now been confirmed in a series of 35 patients who underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) of the LAD, all of whom showed ST-segment depression at the J-point with up-sloping ST segments and tall, symmetrical T-waves in the precordial leads of the 12-lead ECG.^{18,19} The authors recommend that this ECG pattern in patients presenting with suspected MI should prompt triage for immediate reperfusion therapy.

Imaging

Echocardiography provides the most readily available imaging modality for acute phase diagnosis of MI by identifying new left ventricular regional wall motion abnormality. A new diagnostic application for identifying those patients with NSTEMI who have complete coronary occlusions was recently described.²⁰ In such patients, circumferential strain measured within 1 h of admission was independently diagnostic, values $\geq 10\%$ showing 90% sensitivity and 88% sensitivity for angiographic coronary occlusion. The authors suggest that strain measurements in the acute phase of NSTEMI might be used for triaging patients for immediate reperfusion therapy.

Risk stratification

The risk of death and other ischaemic events in patients with ACS varies considerably across diagnostic phenotypes. Objective criteria to quantify risk are now increasingly used to guide treatment and determine prognosis.

Clinical factors

Clinical factors are used intuitively by clinicians. They recognise that risk increases with age and shows important gender differences—young women with STEMI, for example, having a 15-20% higher mortality risk than men.²¹ ECG criteria²² and routine biochemistry are also used for risk stratification, outcomes worsening with admission hyperglycaemia and also it seems with admission hypoglycaemia.^{23,24} Despite clinicians' reliance on clinical assessments



ječnici pouzdaju u kliničku procjenu rizika jasno je da su često greške moguće, a nedavna studija je pokazala slabu povezanost s objektivnim mjerama rizika koje koriste provjerene ljestvice rizika.²⁵

Dijagnostički biomarkeri

Povećano otpuštanje troponina kod NSTEMI povezuje se s proporcionalnim povećanjem rizika od smrtonosnih aritmija, kardiogenog šoka, novonastalog zatajivanja srca i smrti.²⁶ C-reaktivni protein, najviše proučavani prognostički biomarker, također umjereno predviđa neželjene ishode kod AKS, a nedavna meta-analiza izvještava prosječni RR od 2,18 (1,77 do 2,68) za gornju (>10 mg/l) u usporedbi s donjom (≥ 3 mg/l) kategorijom vrijednosti.²⁷ Međutim, pojedinačni biomarkeri tek moraju pronaći korisnu kliničku ulogu — nedavno 5-godišnje praćenje pacijenata s NSTEMI uključenima u studiju FRISC II izvještava da N-terminalni pro-moždani natriuretski peptid (NT-proBNP), C-reaktivni protein, troponin I i procijenjena glomerularna stopa filtracije ne donose dodatnu prognostičku vrijednost za utvrđivanje indikatora rizika, izuzev NT-proBNP-a za 6-tjedne ishode.²⁸ Kombiniranje višestrukih biomarkera može poboljšati prognostičku vrijednost kod neželjenih ishoda, no i dalje se čeka potvrda dodatne vrijednosti u usporedbi poznatim ljestvicama rizika.²⁹

Ljestvice rizika

Potvrđene ljestvice rizika koje se temelje na nizu odmah dostupnih čimbenika pružaju najučinkovitiji način stratifikacije rizika kod pacijenata s AKS. GRACE ljestvica u širokoj je primjeni, a u komparativnoj validacijskoj studiji koja je uključivala 100.686 ispitanika s AKS rezultat kod predviđanja mortaliteta je bio dobar uspoređujući s ostalim alatima za procjenu rizika, uključujući PURSUIT, GUSTO-1, GRACE, SRI i EMMACE.³⁰ Čini se da GRACE ljestvica nije izgubila ništa od svoje kliničke vrijednosti s pojavom visoko osjetljivih troponina. U međunarodnoj studiji 370 pacijenata s AKS, površina ispod krivulje GRACE ljestvice iznosila je 0,87 i 0,88 za bolnički i 1-godišnji mortalitet, a dodatak rezultata visoko-osjetljivih troponina nije donio poboljšanje u predviđanju mortaliteta.³¹

Primarna perkutana koronarna intervencija

Javno izvješće MINAP za Englesku i Wales bilježi da je 70% pacijenata sa STEMI liječeno reperfuzijskom terapijom tijekom 2010./2011. godine, od čega je 81% liječeno primarnim PCI.³² Pomak prema primarnoj PCI, temeljem dokaza kontinuirane koristi za smanjenje smrtnosti u usporedbi s fibrinolizom,³³ je poduprijet uspostavom regionalnih mreža koje su definirale lokalne standarde skrbi i osigurale infrastrukturu za popunjavanje osoblja kardioloških centara.^{34,35}

Pravodobno liječenje je nužno kako bi se maksimizirala prognostička korist,^{36,37} te koliko god je važno ostvariti "door-to-balloon" vrijeme unutar 90 minuta, također je potrebno u obzir uzeti i ostala kašnjenja u procesu zdravstvene skrbi. Stoga je analiza danskog registra 6.209 pacijenata sa STEMI ustanovila da su "kašnjenja sustava" (vri-

of risk it is now clear that they often get it wrong and a recent study has shown little association with objective measures of risk using validated risk scores.²⁵

Diagnostic biomarkers

Increasing troponin release in NSTEMI is associated with a proportionate increase in the risk of lethal arrhythmias, cardiogenic shock, new heart failure and death.²⁶ C-reactive protein, the most widely studied prognostic biomarker, is also moderately predictive of adverse outcomes in ACS, a recent meta-analysis reporting a pooled RR of 2.18 (1.77 to 2.68) for the top (>10 mg/l) compared with the bottom (≥ 3 mg/l) category of values.²⁷ Generally speaking, however, individual biomarkers have yet to find a useful clinical role — a recent 5-year follow-up of patients with NSTEMI included in FRISC II reporting that none of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), C-reactive protein, cardiac troponin I and estimated glomerular filtration rate provided incremental prognostic value to established risk indicators, except NT-proBNP for 6-week outcomes.²⁸ Combining multiple biomarkers may improve predictive power for adverse outcomes but confirmation of incremental value over established risk scores is still awaited.²⁹

Risk scores

Validated risk scores based on a range of readily available factors provide the most effective means of risk stratifying patients with acute coronary syndromes. The GRACE score is widely used and in a comparative validation study involving 100,686 cases of ACS its discriminative performance in predicting mortality compared favourably with a range of other risk models including PURSUIT, GUSTO-1, GRACE, SRI and EMMACE.³⁰ The GRACE score appears to have lost none of its clinical value with the availability of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays. In an international cohort of 370 patients with ACS, the area under the curve of the GRACE score was 0.87 and 0.88 for in-hospital and 1-year mortality, and addition of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin produced no improvement in the mortality prediction.³¹

Primary percutaneous coronary intervention

The MINAP public report for England and Wales records that 70% of all patients with STEMI received reperfusion therapy in 2010/2011, of whom 81% received primary PCI.³² The drive towards primary PCI, based on evidence of a sustained mortality benefit compared with fibrinolysis,³³ has been underpinned by the establishment of regional networks that have defined local standards of care and provided infrastructure for staffing heart attack centres.^{34,35}

Timely treatment is essential to maximise prognostic benefit,^{36,37} and important as it is to achieve door-to-balloon times within 90 min, other intrinsic delays within the healthcare process also need consideration. Thus, a Danish registry analysis of 6,209 patients with STEMI found that 'system delay' (time from first contact with the health-



jeme od prvog kontakta sa zdravstvenim sustavom do početka reperfuzijske terapije) i "door-to-balloon" vrijeme bili ključni promjenjivi čimbenik rizika, uz omjer rizika za mortalitet tijekom sljedećih 3,4 godine od 1,22 (95% CI 1,15 do 1,29; $p < 0,001$) po 1 satu porasta kašnjenja sustava.³⁸ Rezultati naglašavaju važnost kratkoće vremena transfera iz bolnica bez mogućnosti PCI i postavljanja prehospitalne dijagnoze kako bi se osigurao izravan prijevoz pacijenata sa STEMI u intervencijske centre. Također su važne i strategije za smanjenje vremena potrebnog osobama s bolovima u prsištu do poziva hitnoj službi. Unatoč kampanji u SAD koja je imala za cilj povećanje svijesti žena o njihovom riziku od srčanih bolesti, nedavna studija je ustanovila da to nije imalo utjecaja na razliku u smrtnosti između spolova ili vrijeme potrebnodo poziva hitnoj službi koje je kod žena značajnije duže nego kod muškaraca.³⁹

Vaskularni pristup

Primarna PCI radijalnim umjesto femoralnog pristupa predstavlja preferirani pristup kod sve većeg broja intervencijskih kardiologa.⁴⁰ Čini se da je njezina glavna prednost smanjena učestalost komplikacija krvarenja. Randomizirana studija RIVAL koja je proučavala radijalni nasuprot femoralnom pristupu kod 7.021 pacijenata s AKS je izvijestila o trendu prema nižoj učestalosti krvarenja nakon 30 dana (0,7% naspram 0,9%), povezanima sa znatno nižim stopama komplikacija mjesta pristupa, uključujući velike hematome i pseudoaneurizme.⁴¹ Nalazi su bili slični u nedavnoj opservacijskoj studiji kod 1.051 ispitanika s primarnom PCI i učestalosti vaskularnih komplikacija od 0% i 1,9% za radijalni naspram femoralnog pristupa.⁴² Međutim, studija RIVAL nije ustanovila dobrobiti radijalnog pristupa na ishode, a femoralni pristup i dalje preferiraju mnogi kardiolozi⁴³ jer je predvidljiviji, a vrijeme intervencije može biti kraće nego kod radijalnog pristupa.^{44,45}

Implantacija stenta

Zabrinutost zbog tromboze stenta rezultirala je preporukama za metalne stentove (BMS) kod primarne PCI, no randomizirane studije su potvrdile prednosti stentova koji otpuštaju lijekove (DES). Trogodišnji rezultati studije HORIZONS-AMI su izvijestili o nižoj učestalosti revaskularizacije ciljnih lezija kod 2257 pacijenata randomiziranih na DES s paklitakselom nego kod 749 pacijenata randomiziranih na BMS (9,4% naspram 15,1%).⁴⁶ Nije bilo značajne razlike ovisne o tipu stenta za učestalost smrtnog ishoda, ponovnog infarkta, moždanog udara ili tromboze stenta. Stoga DES imaju prednost kod primarne PCI, no to zahtjeva od pacijenta punih 12 mjeseci dvostrukog antitrombotičnog liječenja. Ako se planira hitan kirurški zahvat ili iz nekih drugih razloga postoji visoki rizik od krvarenja trebalo bi odabrati BMS.

Intervencija vodeće lezije ili više žila

Glavna svrha primarne PCI je ostvariti reperfuziju ugroženog miokarda otvaranjem vodeće lezije koronarne arterije. Da li je sigurno ili poželjno tretirati bolest unutar lezije koja nije vodeća već tijekom postupka primarne PCI ili pak kao naknadni postupak predstavlja predmet nedavne

care system to the initiation of reperfusion therapy) — as well as door-to-balloon time — was a key modifiable risk factor, with an HR for mortality during the next 3.4 years of 1.22 (95% CI 1.15 to 1.29; $p < 0.001$) per 1 h increase in system delay.³⁸ The findings emphasise the importance of minimising transfer times from non-PCI hospitals and introducing policies of prehospital diagnosis to permit direct delivery of patients with STEMI to interventional centres. Also important are strategies to reduce the time it takes people with chest pain to call the emergency services. Women take significantly longer than men but, despite a US campaign to increase women's awareness of their risk of heart disease, a recent study found it had no effect on the gender gap or the time it took women to call the emergency services.³⁹

Vascular access

Primary PCI by radial rather than femoral access is the preferred approach for an increasing number of operators.⁴⁰ Its main advantage appears to be a lower rate of bleeding complications — the randomised RIVAL trial of radial versus femoral access in 7,021 patients with ACS reporting a trend towards lower bleeding rates at 30 days (0.7% vs 0.9%), associated with significantly lower rates of access-site complications, including large haematomas and pseudoaneurysms.⁴¹ Findings were similar in a recent observational study of 1,051 primary PCI cases with vascular complication rates of 0% and 1.9% for radial versus femoral access.⁴² However, RIVAL found no outcome advantage for radial access, and femoral access is still preferred by many operators⁴³ because access is more predictable and procedure times may be shorter than with the radial approach.^{44,45}

Stenting

Concerns about stent thrombosis led to recommendations for bare metal stents in primary PCI but randomised trials have now confirmed important advantages for drug-eluting stents. The HORIZONS-AMI 3-year results showed lower rates of target lesion revascularisation for the 2,257 patients randomised to paclitaxel-eluting stents than for the 749 patients randomised to bare metal stents (9.4% vs 15.1%).⁴⁶ There was no difference by stent type in rates of death, reinfarction, stroke or stent thrombosis. Drug-eluting stents are, therefore, preferred in primary PCI but they commit the patient to a full 12 months of dual antiplatelet treatment and if urgent surgery is planned or there is a high risk of bleeding for other reasons bare metal stents should be chosen.

Culprit lesion versus multivessel PCI

The main purpose of primary PCI is to achieve reperfusion of jeopardised myocardium by reopening the culprit coronary artery. Whether it is safe or desirable to treat disease within non-culprit vessels during the primary PCI procedure or as a staged procedure afterwards has been the subject of recent investigation. A small randomised trial of 214 patients with multivessel disease found that adverse event rates during a mean follow-up of 2.5 years



studije. Mala randomizirana studija s uključenih 214 pacijenata s višezilnom bolesti je ustanovila da je učestalost neželjenih ishoda tijekom srednjeg trajanja praćenja od 2,5 godine bila viša kod intervencije na vodećoj leziji nego kod zahvata na više žila bilo da su obavljani tijekom postupka primarne PCI ili, još bolje, kao naknadno planirani postupak.⁴⁷ Ova studija je sada uključena u meta-analizu četiri prospektivne i 14 retrospektivnih studija koje uključuju 40.280 pacijenata, koje su došle do sličnog zaključka koji pokazuje da je planirani PCI povezan s nižim mortalitetom u usporedbi s intervencijom vodeće lezije.⁴⁸ Međutim, višezilna intervencija tijekom primarne PCI povezan je s najvišim mortalitetom. Post hoc analiza studije HORIZONS-AMI je također ustvrdila da je planirani PCI povezan s nižim 1-godišnjim mortalitetom u usporedbi s PCI vodeće lezije (2,3% nasuprot 9,2%).⁴⁹ Ovi podaci dosljedno pokazuju da se višezilna bolest najbolje rješava elektivno kao planirani postupak nakon što se obavi primarna PCI.

Trombektomija

Trombotska koronarna okluzija je patološki događaj koji potiče STEMI i daje razlog za dodatnu trombektomiju tijekom primarne PCI. Razvijen je čitav niz uređaja za ovu svrhu no najjednostavniji, manualna aspiracija tromba, se pokazao najboljim, s dokazima bolje reperfuzije tijekom akutne faze STEMI što daje prednost kod preživljavanja nakon 1 godine usporednu s uobičajenom primarnom PCI.^{50,51} Oslikavanje magnetnom rezonancijom je potvrdilo da aspiracija tromba smanjuje mikrovaskularnu opstrukciju tijekom primarne PCI i ograničava veličinu infarkta nakon 3 mjeseca.⁵² Novija analiza individualnih podataka o pacijentima iz tri randomizirane studije je ustanovila da je trend pogoršanja reperfuzije miokarda s prolaskom vremena od prijma do primarne PCI učinkovito izjednačen aspiracijom tromba, što sugerira specifičnu dobrobit u slučaju kašnjenja s postupkom.⁵³ Složeniji uređaji za trombektomiju nisu preporučeni za uporabu kod pacijenata sa STEMI. Procjena veličine infarkta u dvije studije — JETSTENT (usporedba Agiojet reolitičke trombektomije s primarnim izravnim stentingom) i PREPARE (usporedba simultane proksimalne embolijske zaštite i manualne aspiracije tromba s manualnom aspiracijom tromba) nije pokazala značajnu korist od ovih strategija.^{54,55} S ovim su dosljedne i meta-analize studija trombektomije koje su pokazale da je korist za smanjenje smrtnosti kod pacijenata randomiziranih na ekstrakciju tromba ograničena na pacijente liječene manualnom trombektomijom.⁵⁶

Antitrombotične strategije liječenja

Trenutne preporuke ukazuju na početnu (udarnu) dozu acetilsalicilne kiseline (ASK) i klopidogrela neposredno prije primarne PCI, a potom slijedi doza održavanja. Dodatno liječenje inhibitorima IIb/IIIa receptora pruža intenzivniju inhibiciju trombocita u akutnoj fazi. Glavna svrha liječenja je povećanje otapanja tromba i sprječavanje ponavljanja trombotskih događaja, naročito tromboze stenta unutar 9-12 mjeseci koliko je potrebno DES da bi endotelizirali (1-3 mjeseca za BMS). Sada su dostupni noviji lijekovi koji snažnije od klopidogrela blokiraju ADP P2Y12 receptor⁵⁷ te su istraživani u kombinaciji s ASK kod pacije-

were higher with culprit PCI than with multivessel PCI whether performed during the primary PCI procedure or, better, as a staged procedure afterwards.⁴⁷ This trial has now been included in a meta-analysis of four prospective and 14 retrospective studies involving 40,280 patients, which came to a similar conclusion in showing that staged PCI was associated with lower mortality compared with culprit PCI.⁴⁸ However, multivessel PCI during the primary procedure was associated with the highest mortality. A post hoc analysis of the HORIZONS-AMI trial also found that staged PCI was associated with lower 1-year mortality compared with culprit PCI (2.3% vs 9.2%).⁴⁹ These data, are consistent in showing that multivessel disease is best dealt with electively as a staged procedure after the primary PCI procedure has been completed.

Thrombectomy

Thrombotic coronary occlusion is the pathological event triggering STEMI and provides the logic for adjunctive thrombectomy during primary PCI. A variety of devices have been developed for this purpose but the simplest, manual thrombus aspiration, has emerged as the best, with evidence of better reperfusion during the acute phase of STEMI translating into a survival advantage at 1 year compared with conventional primary PCI.^{50,51} MRI has confirmed that thrombus aspiration reduces microvascular obstruction during primary PCI and limits infarct size at 3 months.⁵² A more recent analysis of pooled individual patient data from three randomised trials found that the trend for worsening myocardial reperfusion with time from admission to primary PCI was effectively abolished by thrombus aspiration, suggesting particular benefits in the event of procedural delay.⁵³ More complex thrombectomy devices are not recommended for use in STEMI. Thus assessments of infarct size reduction in two trials — JETSTENT comparing Angiojet rheolytic thrombectomy with primary direct stenting and PREPARE comparing simultaneous proximal embolic protection and manual thrombus aspiration with manual thrombus aspiration — showed no significant benefit of these device strategies.^{54,55} Consistent with this is a meta-analysis of thrombectomy trials showing that the mortality benefit for patients randomised to thrombus extraction is confined to patients treated with manual thrombectomy.⁵⁶

Antiplatelet strategies

Current recommendations are for loading doses of aspirin and clopidogrel immediately before primary PCI followed by maintenance treatment. Adjunctive treatment with GPIIb/IIIa receptor blockers provides more intensive platelet inhibition in the acute phase. The main purpose of treatment is to enhance thrombus resolution and to prevent recurrent thrombotic events, particularly stent thrombosis in the 9-12 months it takes for drug-eluting struts to endothelialise (1-3 months for bare metal struts). Newer, drugs that block the ADP P2Y12 receptor more potently than clopidogrel are now available⁵⁷ and have been evaluated in combination with aspirin in patients undergoing primary PCI. In the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial of dual antiplatelet treatment, prasugrel reduced the primary outcome of cardio-



nata podvrgnutih primarnoj PCI. U studiji dvostrukog anti-trombocitnog liječenja TRITON-TIMI 38 prasugrel je reducirao primarni zajednički ishod (kardiovaskularna smrt, nefatalni IM i nefatalni moždani udar) u usporedbi s klopido-grelom (6,5% naspram 9,5%), ali je to bilo povezano sa značajno većim rizikom od velikog krvarenja, uključujući i krvarenje sa smrtnim ishodom, što postavlja upite o sigurnosti lijeka.⁵⁸ Tikagrelor je također procijenjen u usporedbi s klopido-grelom u podstudiji studije PLATO te se, kao i prasugrel, dokazao učinkovitijim u smanjenju primarnog zajedničkog ishoda (kardiovaskularna smrt, IM ili moždanog udara) iako je apsolutna razlika bila mala (9,0% naspram 10,7%).⁵⁹ Međutim, interesantno je da se pojavilo povećana učestalost krvarenja te tikagrelor sada ima preporuku za uporabu kod primarne PCI, iako njegovo konačno mjesto u terapijskom arsenalu mora pričekati studije isplativosti i dugoročne sigurnosti.

Abciximab, primjenjen intravenozno, predstavlja najšire korišten inhibitor IIb/IIIa receptora kod pacijenata sa STEMI koji su podvrgnuti primarnoj PCI. Koristi se čine obrnuto povezane s procesom upale⁶⁰, a mogle bi se povećati intrakoronarnom primjenom, što je potvrdila meta-analiza koja kod ovakve primjene izvještava o poboljšanim kliničkim ishodima.⁶¹ Međutim, abciximab je skup i sada postoje studije koje potvrđuju neinferiornost malih molekula inhibitora IIb/IIIa receptora. Stoga su istraživači analizirajući podatke Švedskog registra koronarografije i angioplastike usporedili rezultate 2.355 pacijenata s primarnom PCI koji su liječeni eptifibatidom s drugih 9.124 koji su liječeni abciximabom te su pronašli sličnu učestalost smrtnosti od IM tijekom jednogodišnjeg praćenja (15,0% naspram 15,7%).⁶² U sličnoj studiji, 427 pacijenata randomiziranih ili na eptifibatid ili abciximab je pokazalo identičnu učestalost kompletne rezolucije ST-segmenta 60 minuta nakon primarne PCI (62,6% nasuprot 56,3%) te nije bilo registrirano značajnijih razlika između kardiovaskularnih ishoda.⁶³ U studiji On-TIME 2, još je jedan spoj malih molekula, tirofiban, u kombinaciji s ASK i klopido-grelom, rezultirao učinkovitijom inhibicijom trombocita od same ASK i klopido-grela kod pacijenata koji su bili podvrgnuti primarnoj PCI. Stupanj trombocitne inhibicije je pokazao značajan odnos s glavnim neželjenim kardiološkim epizodama, uključujući i trombozu stenta.⁶⁴ Ovi nalazi moraju tek penetrirati u međunarodne smjernice, no mnogi centri već sada prelaze s abciximaba na spojeve malih molekula kako bi se smanjili farmakološki troškovi.

Ostali antitrombocitni lijekovi

Fondaparinux

Intravenozni heparin tijekom primarne PCI dodatno poboljšava otapanje tromba tijekom intervencije, a fondaparinux, sintetski inhibitor faktora Xa ima prednosti u odnosu na niskomolekularni heparin. Nedavna zajednička analiza 26.512 pacijenata iz studija OASIS 5 i 6 randomiziranih na 2,5 mg fondaparinuxa dnevno ili strategiju koja se temelji na heparinu riješile su nesigurnost vezanu za kliničku primjene fondaparinuxa u pacijenata koji se podvrgavaju liječenju primjenom PCI pokazujući bolji učinak na zajednički (smrt, IM, moždani udar ili veće krvarenje) ishode (10,8% naspram 9,4%; HR=0,87; p=0,008) u podskupini od 19.085 pacijenata koji su invazivno liječeni.⁶⁵

vascular death, non-fatal MI and non-fatal stroke compared with clopidogrel (6.5% vs 9.5%), but this was associated with a significantly greater risk of major bleeding, including fatal bleeding, raising important safety concerns.⁵⁸ Ticagrelor has also been evaluated against clopidogrel in a substudy of the PLATO trial and like prasugrel it proved more effective in reducing the primary outcome of cardiovascular death, MI or stroke, although the absolute difference was small (9.0% vs 10.7%).⁵⁹ Strikingly, however, there appeared to be enhanced bleeding, and ticagrelor now has a guideline recommendation for use in primary PCI, although its final place in the therapeutic arsenal must await cost-effectiveness and long-term safety studies.

Abciximab, given intravenously, has been the most widely used GPIIb/IIIa receptor blocker in patients with STEMI undergoing primary PCI. Benefits appear to be inversely related to inflammatory burden⁶⁰ and may be enhanced by intracoronary administration, a recent meta-analysis reporting improved clinical outcomes by this route.⁶¹ However, abciximab is expensive and there are now studies confirming non-inferiority of 'small-molecule' GPIIb/IIIa receptor blockers. Thus, investigators using the Swedish Coronary Angiography and Angioplasty Registry compared 2,355 primary PCI patients who received eptifibatide with 9,124 who received abciximab and found similar rates of death or MI during 1-year follow-up (15.0% vs 15.7%).⁶² In a smaller study, 427 patients randomised either to eptifibatide or abciximab showed comparable rates of complete ST-segment resolution 60 min after primary PCI (62.6% vs 56.3%) with no significant differences between cardiovascular outcomes.⁶³ In the On-TIME 2 trial, another small molecule compound, tirofiban, in combination with aspirin and clopidogrel, provided more effective platelet inhibition than aspirin and clopidogrel alone in patients undergoing primary PCI. The degree of platelet inhibition showed significant relationship with major adverse cardiac events, including stent thrombosis.⁶⁴ These findings have yet to penetrate international guidelines but many centres are now switching from abciximab to small-molecule compounds to reduce pharmacological costs.

Other antithrombotic drugs

Fondaparinux

Intravenous heparin during primary PCI further enhances thrombus resolution during primary PCI but ongoing treatment with low molecular weight heparin has now given way to fondaparinux, a synthetic factor Xa inhibitor. A recent individual patient-level combined analysis of 26,512 patients from the OASIS 5 and 6 trials who were randomised to fondaparinux 2.5 mg daily or a heparin-based strategy has resolved uncertainty about the clinical value of fondaparinux in patients undergoing primary PCI by showing a better net clinical composite of death, MI, stroke, or major bleeding (10.8% vs 9.4%; HR=0.87; p=0.008) in the subset of 19,085 patients treated invasively.⁶⁵ A similar benefit was found in patients treated conservatively. Fondaparinux is now widely used in preference to heparin in ACS.



Slična korist je ustanovljena i kod pacijenata koji su liječeni konzervativno. Fondoparinuks stoga ima prednost kod AKS u odnosu na heparin.

Bivalirudin

Bivalirudin je izravan inhibitor trombina koji je u studiji HORIZONS-AMI pokazao superiornost u usporedbi s kombiniranim liječenjem heparinom s IIb/IIIa inhibitorima, što je uvelike bilo posljedica manje učestalosti velikih krvarenja (4,9% naspram 8,3%).⁶⁶ Smrtnost od svih uzroka nakon 30 dana je također bio niža u skupini s bivalirudinom, s prisutnom dobrobiti i nakon 3 godine (5,9% naspram 7,7%), što je osiguralo preporuku za bivalirudin u primarnoj PCI.⁴⁶ Međutim, trebalo bi napomenuti da je femoralni arterijski pristup korišten kod 94,1% ispitanika u studiji HORIZONS-AMI pa nije poznato da li se redukcija krvarenja uz pomoć bivalirudina može jednako primijeniti na centre gdje se preferira radijalni pristup.

Fibrinoličko liječenje

Dokazi da je fibrinoliza manje učinkovita od primarne PCI u hitnom liječenju STEMI su sada ojačani dokazima o smanjenoj troškovnoj učinkovitosti⁶⁷, a sada se ipak značajna manjina pacijenata u Engleskoj i Walesu liječi na ovaj način.³² Ovo može biti opravdano ako se fibrinoliza može osigurati 30 minuta nakon prezentacije kada primarni PCI nije odmah dostupan, jer bi odgoda liječenja drugom strategijom bila povezana sa znatnim povećanjem smrtnosti.³⁶ Ovo opravdava programe prehospitalne trombolize, naročito u ruralnim područjima gdje je vrijeme transfera produljeno, ali entuzijazam ove strategije bi sada mogao biti umanjen dokazima iz MINAP registra koji pokazuju višu učestalost reinfarkta u usporedbi s bolničkim trombolitičkim liječenjem pacijenata sa STEMI.⁶⁸ Razlika u učestalosti reinfarkta je bila značajna samo za tenecteplazu (9,6% naspram 6,4%) no ne i za reteplazu, a bila je izrazito značajna kad je vrijeme transfera prelazilo 30 minuta. Razlika se pripisuje primjeni dodatnog antitrombotskog liječenja u ove dvije strategije liječenja. Interesantno je da su komplikacije krvarenja bile uobičajenije u bolničkom okruženju gdje je dodatna antitrombotaska terapija bila agresivnija, što je dosljedno novijim podacima RIKS-HIA registra koji pokazuju porast krvarenja kod pacijenata koji su liječeni fibrinoličkom terapijom od 2001. do 2006. kako je antitrombotsko liječenje postojalo sve učinkovitije.⁶⁹ Dostupnost potentnih blokatora ADP P2Y12 receptora je dovela do daljnje zabrinutosti o komplikacijama krvarenja te je stoga bilo utješno kad je dodatna analiza studije PLATO potvrdila da bi se bez povećanja rizika krvarenja učestalost događaja mogla smanjiti tikagrelorom u usporedbi s klopidogrelom.^{70,71}

Uloga invazivnog liječenja nakon fibrinoličkog liječenja kod bolesnika sa STEMI je pojašnjena u dvije nedavne meta-analize malih i srednjih velikih istraživanja koja su uspoređivala strategije rutinske rane koronarografije kod svih pacijenata u odnosu na odgođenu ili ishemijskom vođenu koronarografiju.^{72,73} Obje meta-analize su izvijestile da je rutinska rana koronarografija povezana sa smanjenom učestalosti ponovljenog infarkta miokarda i smrti te se ova strategija sada preporuča u međunarodnim smjernicama.

Bivalirudin

Bivalirudin is a direct thrombin inhibitor that showed superiority to a combined regimen of heparin plus a GPIIb/IIIa inhibitor in HORIZONS-AMI, largely owing to a lower rate of major bleeding (4.9% vs 8.3%).⁶⁶ All-cause mortality at 30 days was also lower in the bivalirudin group, with persistent benefit after 3 years (5.9% vs 7.7%), assuring a guideline recommendation for bivalirudin in primary PCI.⁴⁶ It should be noted, however, that femoral artery access was used in 94.1% of the HORIZONS-AMI population and whether the reduction in bleeding with bivalirudin applies equally to centres where radial access is the preferred approach is not known.

Fibrinolytic treatment

Evidence that fibrinolysis is less effective than primary PCI in the emergency management of STEMI, has now been reinforced by evidence of reduced cost-effectiveness,⁶⁷ yet a significant minority of patients in England and Wales continue to be treated with it.³² This may be justified if fibrinolysis can be delivered within 30 min after presentation when primary PCI is not immediately available, because treatment delays by either modality are associated with substantial increases in mortality.³⁶ This has provided justification for programmes of pre-hospital thrombolysis, particularly in rural regions where transport times are prolonged, but enthusiasm for this approach may now be diminished by evidence from the MINAP registry showing higher rates of reinfarction compared with in-hospital thrombolytic treatment for patients with STEMI.⁶⁸ The difference in reinfarction rates was only significant for tenecteplase (9.6% vs 6.4%), not reteplase, and was particularly marked when transport times exceeded 30 min. It was attributed to differences in the use of adjunctive antithrombotic treatment in the two treatment environments. Interestingly, bleeding complications were more common in the hospital environment where adjunctive antithrombotic treatment was more aggressive, consistent with recent data from RIKS-HIA showing that major bleeding complications among patients receiving fibrinolytic treatment continued to increase from 2001 to 2006 as antithrombotic treatments became more effective.⁶⁹ The availability of potent ADP P2Y12 receptor blockers has raised further concerns about bleeding complications, and it was gratifying, therefore, that the PLATO trial substudy confirmed that event rates could be reduced with ticagrelor compared with clopidogrel without an increase in bleeding risk.^{70,71}

The role of invasive treatment after fibrinolytic treatment in STEMI has been clarified in two recent meta-analyses of small and medium-size trials comparing strategies of routine early angiography for all patients with deferred or ischaemia-guided angiography.^{72,73} Both meta-analyses reported that routine early angiography was associated with reductions in the rates of recurrent MI and death and this strategy is now recommended in international guidelines.



Infarkt miokarda bez elevacije ST segmenta

NSTEMI je postao dominantan način prezentacije za pacijente s AIM, a u nedavnoj analizi iz Kaiser Permanente bio je zastupljen u 66,9% svih slučajeva.⁴ Postoji percepcija da je NSTEMI relativno benigne prognoze unatoč dokazima da je nakon 2 mjeseca prognoza lošija nego kod STEMI.^{21,74} Ovo bi moglo objasniti tendenciju liječnika da suboptimalno liječe NSTEMI na osnovu nepodudaranja između percepcije i stvarnog rizika što iskrivljuje odluku o liječenju, te potiče paradoks rizika liječenja.²⁵ Stoga, unatoč lošijoj prognozi, manja je vjerojatnost da će pacijenti s NSTEMI dobiti optimalno liječenje u sekundarnoj prevenciji u usporedbi sa STEMI.⁷⁵ Nadalje, u studiji s uključenih 13.489 pacijenata zaprimljenih s NSTEMI iz registra MINAP, invazivno liječenje je povezano s boljim ishodima, no primijenjeno je neadekvatno, s nižom učestalosti u visokorizičnim skupinama, uključujući starije pacijente, žene, kao i one sa srčanim komorbiditetom.⁷⁶

Hitno zbrinjavanje

Dvostruko antitrombotično liječenje sa ASK i klopido-grelom je ključno u liječenju NSTEMI.⁷⁷ Uloga novih potentnijih blokatora ADP P2Y12 receptora ostaje neodređena, iako se tikagrelor čini obećavajući, temeljem učinkovitosti — smanjenja ishemijskih događaja u usporedbi s klopido-grelom kod NSTEMI, kao i STEMI, bez povećanja rizika od krvarenja.⁷⁸ Istodobno liječenje s fondaparinuxom ima prednost pred liječenjem primjenom enoksaparina temeljem rezultata studije OASIS 5 koja je usporedila ove lijekove kod 20 078 pacijenata s AKS.⁷⁹ Pacijenti randomizirani na fondaparinux su pokazali 50% smanjenje većih krvarenja u usporedbi s enoksaparinom te nije bilo razlike u učestalosti ishemijskih događaja. Smanjenje rizika krvarenja je bila usporedivo bilo da su dodatno propisani klopido-grel ili inhibitori IIb/IIIa receptora⁸⁰, a troškovna učinkovitost je sada potvrđena.⁸¹ Indikacije za bivalirudin kod NSTEMI je bilo teže definirati te iako ima dozvolu za uporabu u kombinaciji sa ASK i klopido-grelom, to je temeljeno na njegovom sigurnosnom profilu (niži rizik od krvarenja), dok njegova učinkovitost u smanjenju ishemijskih događaja nije veća od one kod heparina zajedno s inhibitorom IIb/IIIa ili pak bivalirudinom zajedno s inhibitorom IIb/IIIa receptora.⁸²

Većina pacijenata s NSTEMI ima koristi od intervencijskog liječenja,⁸³ no najnoviji podaci ukazuju da bi se ono moglo odgoditi za najmanje 24 sata osim ako trajna klinička nestabilnost koja ne regira na inhibitore IIb/IIIa receptora ne zatraži žurniju strategiju. Stoga, u randomiziranoj usporedbi neposredne naspram odgođene PCI kod 251 pacijenata, 30-dnevna učestalost primarnog zajedničkog ishoda (smrt, ne-fatalni IM ili neplanirane revaskularizacije) je bila značajno viša u skupini koja je liječena neposredom intervencijom (60% naspram 39%).⁸⁴ Razlika je postojala i nakon 6-mjesečnog praćenja. Malo je vjerojatno da će odgađanje intervencije dulje od 96 sati biti od koristi, no podaci iz registra pokazuju da je ovo uobičajeno, naročito kod visokorizičnih pacijenata koji mogu imati najviše koristi od revaskularizacije.⁸⁵ Dokazi koji podupiru pravodobnu revaskularizaciju uvelike se temelje na PCI podacima, no za manji dio pacijenata je potrebno aortokoronarno premoštenje (CABG). Analiza podataka iz američ-

Non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction

NSTEMI has become the dominant mode of presentation for patients with AMI and in the recent analysis from Kaiser Permanente accounted for 66.9% of cases.⁴ There has been a perception that NSTEMI is relatively benign despite evidence that prognosis after 2 months becomes substantially worse than with STEMI.^{21,74} This may explain the tendency of doctors to under-treat NSTEMI based on a mismatch between perceived and actual risk that distorts management decisions, perpetuating the 'treatment-risk paradox'.²⁵ Thus, despite a worse prognosis, patients with NSTEMI are less likely than patients with STEMI to receive optimal secondary prevention treatment.⁷⁵ Moreover, in a study of 13,489 NSTEMI admissions recorded in the MINAP registry, invasive management was associated with better outcomes but was applied inequitably, with lower rates in high-risk groups, including older patients, women and those with cardiac comorbidities.⁷⁶

Emergency management

Dual antiplatelet treatment with aspirin and clopidogrel is central to the management of NSTEMI.⁷⁷ The role of newer more potent ADP P2Y12 receptor blockers remains undetermined, although ticagrelor looks promising, based on its ability to reduce ischaemic events compared with clopidogrel in NSTEMI as well as STEMI, without increasing the risk of bleeding.⁷⁸ Simultaneous treatment with fondaparinux is now recommended in preference to enoxaparin, based on the findings in OASIS 5 which compared these agents in 20,078 patients with ACS.⁷⁹ Patients randomised to fondaparinux showed a 50% reduction in major bleeding compared with enoxaparin, with no difference in the incidence of ischaemic events. The reduction in bleeding risk was comparable whether clopidogrel or GPIIb/IIIa receptor blockers were co-prescribed⁸⁰ and cost-effectiveness has now been confirmed.⁸¹ Indications for bivalirudin in NSTEMI have been harder to define and although it has a licence for use in combination with aspirin and clopidogrel, this is based principally on its safety profile (lower bleeding risk), its efficacy for reducing ischaemic events being no greater than either heparin plus GPIIb/IIIa receptor blocker or bivalirudin plus GPIIb/IIIa receptor blockers.⁸²

The majority of patients with NSTEMI benefit from interventional management,⁸³ but recent data suggest this could be delayed for at least 24 h unless continuing clinical instability unresponsive to GPIIb/IIIa receptor blockers calls for earlier action. Thus, in a randomised comparison of immediate versus deferred PCI in 251 patients, the incidence at 30 days of the primary end point, a composite of death, non-fatal MI or unplanned revascularisation, was significantly higher in the group receiving immediate PCI (60% vs 39%).⁸⁴ The difference persisted at 6 months' follow-up. Delaying intervention beyond 96 h is unlikely to be helpful, yet registry data show that this is common, particularly in high-risk patients who have most to gain from revascularisation.⁸⁵ The evidence for timely revascularisation is largely based on PCI data but a small proportion of patients require coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). An analysis of US registry data showed that the timing of CABG has no palpable effect on outcomes, the composite



kog registra je pokazala da vrijeme postupka CABG nije imalo zamjetan učinak na ishode, te su zajednički ishodi (smrt, IM, kongestivni srčano zatajivanje ili kardiogeni šok) bili slični (12,6% naspram 12,4%) bilo da je CABG obavljen unutar 48 sati od primitka ili kasnije.⁸⁶ Stoga se općenito rani kirurški zahvat preporuča kako bi se ograničio boravak u bolnici i smanjilo korištenje resursa.

Sekundarna prevencija Kardiološka rehabilitacija

Dobrobit od kardiološke rehabilitacije kod 30.161 Medicare korisnika, od kojih je 20,5% nedavno doživjelo IM, potvrđena je snažnom povezanošću između prisustvovanja broju rehabilitacijskih programa i dugoročne učestalosti smrtnog ishoda i IM.⁸⁷ No trenutno izvješće o kardiološkoj rehabilitaciji u UK je ustanovilo da je uključeno svega 26% od podobnih pacijenata s IM, a stope pridržavanja terapije su od 65-85%.⁸⁸ Razlozi za loše prihvaćanje su složeni, a uključuju činjenicu da mnogi pacijenti ne žele sudjelovati u grupnim programima. Pregledni članak ukazuje da su i kućni programi jednako učinkoviti u poboljšanju kliničke i zdravstvene kvalitete života, a mnogim su pacijentima prihvatljiviji.⁸⁹ Troškovi zdravstvene skrbi su slični, a to podupire daljnju provedbu kućnih programa kardiološke rehabilitacije poput onih iz Birminghama.⁹⁰ Nedavan rezultat poboljšanog protoka krvi u miokardu zajedno s redukcijom u cirkulirajućim angiogenim citokinima kod pacijenata koji su podvrgnuti kardiološkoj rehabilitaciji pridaje uvjerenje da je kliničko poboljšanje poput fiziološkog.⁹¹

Promjene životnog stila

Važna komponenta kardiološke rehabilitacije je promjena životnog stila kako bi se zaštitilo od daljnjih koronarnih događaja. Na vrhu popisa je prestanak pušenja. Nedavna studija s uključenih 1.581 pacijenata praćenih 13 godina pokazala je da je prilagođeni omjer rizika za smrtni ishod od svih uzroka niži za 43% kod osoba koje su cijeli život nepušači i za 43% kod pacijenata koji su nakon IM prestali pušiti.⁹² Novost je bila da kod ustrajnih pušača svako smanjenje od pet cigareta dnevno smanjuje rizik od smrti za 18%, što pruža određenu utjehu onim pacijentima kod kojih se potpuna apstinencija pokazala nemogućom. Čak i kod pacijenata koji su uspjeli prestati pušiti, ostaje opasnost od pasivne izloženosti dimu cigareta, što ukazuje podaci iz Škotske koji pokazuju da se prilagođeni mortalitet od svih uzroka i kardiovaskularni mortalitet kod osoba koje su preživjele IM i nisu nikad pušile povećava u skladu s izloženosti izmjerenim serumskim koncentracijama nikotina.⁹³ Poruka je jasna — zaštita od ponovljenih događaja kod osoba koje su preživjele IM zahtjeva prestanak pušenja od strane pacijenta te također od onih s kojima je pacijent u kontaktu, naročito članovi obitelji.

Zajedno s prestankom pušenja, savjeti vezani za tjeležbu i dijetu koji se daju u službenim programima mogu imati pozitivan učinak na promjenjive čimbenike rizika, uključujući serumski kolesterol, arterijski tlak i indeks tjelesne mase.⁹⁴ Prehrambene preporuke obično uključuju suplemente omega-3 masnih kiselina⁹⁵ no ovo je sada dovedeno u pitanje u nalazima dvije studije. U prvoj je 4.837

of death, MI, congestive heart failure, or cardiogenic shock being similar (12.6% vs 12.4%) whether CABG is done within 48 h of admission or later.⁸⁶ In general, therefore, early surgery is recommended to limit hospital stay and reduce resource use.

Secondary prevention

Cardiac rehabilitation

The benefit of cardiac rehabilitation among 30,161 Medicare beneficiaries, 20.5% of whom had recent MI, was confirmed by a strong dose-response relationship between the number of rehabilitation sessions attended and long-term rates of death and MI.⁸⁷ Yet a contemporary report of cardiac rehabilitation in the UK found that only 26% of eligible patients with MI are recruited, with adherence rates of 65-85%.⁸⁸ Reasons for the poor uptake are complex but include the fact that many patients do not want to participate in centre-based group programmes. A systematic review has now reported that home-based programmes are equally effective in improving clinical and health-related quality-of-life outcomes and are more acceptable to many patients.⁸⁹ Healthcare costs are similar, supporting the further provision of home-based cardiac rehabilitation such as that described by investigators in Birmingham.⁹⁰ The recent demonstration of improved myocardial blood flow plus reductions in circulating angiogenic cytokines in patients undergoing cardiac rehabilitation provides some reassurance that clinical improvement is physiologically based.⁹¹

Lifestyle modification

An important component of cardiac rehabilitation is lifestyle adjustment to help protect against further coronary events. Top of the list is smoking cessation. A recent study of 1,581 patients followed up for 13 years showed that the adjusted HR for all-cause mortality was lower by 43% in lifelong non-smokers and by 43% in patients who quit after MI.⁹² A new finding was that among persistent smokers, each reduction of five cigarettes smoked per day reduced the risk of death by 18%, providing some comfort for those patients for whom complete abstinence proves impossible. Even among patients who manage to quit, there remains the hazard of second-hand smoke exposure, as reflected by data from Scotland showing that adjusted all-cause and cardiovascular mortality among never-smoking survivors of MI increases according to smoke exposure measured by serum cotinine concentration.⁹³ The message is clear that protection against recurrent events in survivors of MI requires smoking cessation by the patient and also by those with whom the patient makes contact, particularly family members.

Together with smoking cessation, advice about exercise and diet delivered in formal programmes can have a salutary effect on modifiable risk profiles, including serum cholesterol, blood pressure and body mass index.⁹⁴ Dietary recommendations usually include omega-3 fatty acid supplements⁹⁵ but this has now been questioned by the findings of two studies. In the first, 4,837 patients with previous MI were randomised to margarines containing marine



pacijenta s prethodnim IM randomizirano na margarine koji sadrže morske n-3 masne kiseline i alfa-linoleinsku kiselinu iz biljaka u faktorskom dizajnu 2 2.⁹⁶ Učestalost neželjenih kardiovaskularnih događaja se nije razlikovala značajno među analiziranim skupinama. U drugoj studiji su visoko pročišćene omega-3 masne kiseline nasumično primjenjene 3,851 pacijentu s AIM u praćenju koje je trajalo 12 mjeseci.⁹⁷ Nije bilo značajne razlike u učestalosti iznenadne srčane smrti (1,5% naspram 1,5%), ukupnom mortalitetu (4,6% naspram 3,7%), ili velikim neželjenim cerebrovaskularnim ili kardiovaskularnim događajima (10,4% naspram 8,8%) između aktivno liječene i placebo skupine. Rezultati ove dvije studije čine preporuke za sekundarnu prevenciju sa suplementima omega-3 masnih kiselina nakon IM teško održivima.

Farmakoterapija

Važnost optimalne sekundarne prevencije nakon IM je naglašena u modeliranoj studiji u kojoj je veća apsolutna dobrobit za preživljavanje ostvarena optimizacijom liječenja u sekundarnoj prevenciji u usporedbi s reperfuzijskim liječenjem u bolnici (104 nasuprot ≥ 30 života/10.000).⁹⁸ Preporuke su ASK, beta blokatori, statini, blokatori renin-angiotenzinskog sustava i tienopiridini — studija s uključenih 5.353 pacijenata pokazuje da je liječenje sa svih pet lijekova smanjilo jednogodišnji mortalitet za 74% u usporedbi s liječenjima s jednim lijekom ili bez ijednog lijeka, uz dosljedne učinke na STEMI i NSTEMI.⁷⁵ Dokaz da statini i klopidogrel daju najveću nezavisnu farmakološku dobrobit (OR za smrtni ishod 0,85 (0,73 do 0,99) i 0,84 (0,72 do 0,99)) su dali istraživači GRACE studije sa 5.148 pacijenata s AKS,⁹⁹ a dvije zasebne studije su sada izvjestile o štetnim posljedicama nepridržavanja liječenja s ovim lijekovima tijekom prve godine nakon otpusta iz bolnice.^{100,101} Poruka je jasna — propisivanje terapije za sekundarnu prevenciju u skladu sa smjernicama i promoviranje pridržavanja uputa za liječenje mogu zajedno dovesti do daljnjeg smanjenja mortaliteta kod pacijenata s IM.

Implatibilni kardioverter-defibrilatori (ICD)

Ejekcijska frakcija lijeve klijetke (LVEF) nakon AIM ostaje predvidljiva za iznenadnu smrt i u razdoblju liječenja primarnom PCI¹⁰² te je ključna varijabla za određivanje kojim pacijentima bi trebalo ponuditi implatibilni kardioverter-defibrilator (ICD) u primarnoj prevenciji.¹⁰³ Međutim, LVEF u akutnoj fazi predstavlja nepouzdan vodič za LVEF nakon 3 mjeseca, kada često nastupi značajan oporavak kontrakcijske funkcije. Postoji još jedan razlog za odgodu odluke o ICD dulje od preporučenih 40 dana. Nedavna randomizirana studija s primjenom ICD u liječenju 898 pacijenata s LVEF $\geq 40\%$, koji su uključeni unutar 31 dana od AIM, nije pokazala ukupno smanjenje mortaliteta za pacijente koji su primili ICD jer je visoka učestalost iznenadne smrti poništila zaštitu od iznenadne aritmijske smrti koju pruža ICD.¹⁰⁴ Sekundarna analiza studije DINAMIT je potvrdila visok rizik iznenadne smrti kod pacijenata koji prime ICD rano nakon infarkta miokarda, dok su ispitivači studije VALIANT izvjestili da su ponovljeni infarkt ili ruptura srca česti uzroci smrti tijekom ovog razdoblja.^{105,106} Sve spomenuto objašnjava zašto ICD ne pruža zaštitu od smrti

n-3 fatty acids and plant-derived alpha-linolenic acid in a 2 2 factorial design.⁹⁶ The rate of adverse cardiovascular events did not differ significantly among the study groups. In the second study, highly purified omega-3 fatty acids were randomly allocated to 3,851 patients with AMI followed up for 12 months.⁹⁷ There were no significant differences in rates of sudden cardiac death (1.5% vs 1.5%), total mortality (4.6% vs 3.7%), or major adverse cerebrovascular and cardiovascular events (10.4% vs 8.8%) between treatment and placebo groups. The results of these two trials make recommendations for secondary prevention with omega-3 fatty acid supplements after MI difficult to sustain.

Pharmacotherapy

The importance of optimal secondary prevention after MI was emphasised in a modelling study, in which greater absolute gains in survival were achieved by optimising secondary prevention treatments compared with in-hospital reperfusion treatments (104 vs ≥ 30 lives/10,000).⁹⁸ Recommended are aspirin, beta blockers, statins, renin-angiotensin system blockers and thienopyridines — a study of 5,353 patients showing that treatment with all five drugs reduced 1-year mortality by 74% compared with treatment with one or none of them, with consistent effects in STEMI and NSTEMI.⁷⁵ Evidence that statins and clopidogrel provide the greatest independent pharmacological benefit (ORs for death 0.85 (0.73 to 0.99) and 0.84 (0.72 to 0.99)) was provided by the GRACE investigators in a nested case-control study of 5,148 patients with ACS,⁹⁹ and two separate studies have now reported the adverse consequences of failing to adhere to treatment with these drugs during the first year after discharge.^{100,101} The message is clear that prescribing secondary prevention treatment according to guideline recommendations and promoting adherence to treatment can together produce further mortality reductions in patients with MI.

Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators (ICDs)

Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) after AMI remains predictive of sudden death in the primary PCI era¹⁰² and is the key determinant of which patients should be offered an ICD for primary prevention.¹⁰³ However, LVEF in the acute phase is an unreliable guide to LVEF at 3 months when significant recovery of contractile function has often occurred. But there is another reason for delaying decisions about ICDs beyond the guideline-recommended 40 days. Thus a recent randomised trial of ICD therapy in 898 patients with LVEF $\geq 40\%$, recruited within 31 days of AMI, showed no overall mortality reduction for the patients who received an ICD because a high rate of non-sudden death negated protection against sudden arrhythmic death provided by the ICD.¹⁰⁴ A secondary analysis of DINAMIT has now confirmed a high risk of non-sudden death in patients who receive ICDs early after MI, while the VALIANT investigators have reported that recurrent infarction or cardiac rupture are common causes of death during this period.^{105,106} Taken together, these findings explain why ICDs fail to protect against death if implanted early after MI. Decisions should, therefore, be deferred, and patients selec-



ako se implantira rano nakon IM. Odluku bi stoga trebalo odgoditi, a pacijente za terapiju ICD odabrati prema mjeranjima LVEF nakon 40 dana.

ted for ICD therapy according to measurement of LVEF at 40 days.

Zaključak

Liječenje AKS se nastavlja razvijati i poboljšavati. Iza-zov za kardiovaskularne istraživače je kako održati ovaj zamah i osigurati da poboljšanja ishoda koja su uočena u razvijenom svijetu imaju globalan učinak.

Conclusion

The management of ACS continues to evolve and im-prove. The challenge for cardiovascular researchers is to maintain this momentum and to ensure that the improve-ments in outcome seen in the developed world have a global impact.

Received: 13th Sep 2011

*Address for correspondence: London Chest Hospital,
NIHR Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit,

London, E2 9JX, UK

E-mail: charles.knight@bartsandthelondon.nhs.uk

Literature

1. Mirzaei M, Truswell AS, Taylor R, et al. Coronary heart disease epidemics: not all the same. *Heart*. 2009;95:740-6.
2. Mackay DF, Irfan MO, Haw S, et al. Meta-analysis of the effect of comprehensive smoke-free legislation on acute coronary events. *Heart*. 2010;96:1525-30.
3. Björck L, Rosengren A, Wallentin L, et al. Smoking in relation to ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction: findings from the Register of Information and Knowledge about Swedish Heart Intensive Care Admissions. *Heart*. 2009;95:1006-11.
4. Yeh RW, Sidney S, Chandra M, et al. Population trends in the incidence and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362:2155-65.
5. Myerson M, Coady S, Taylor H, et al. Declining severity of myocardial infarction from 1987 to 2002: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *Circulation*. 2009;119:503-14.
6. Murphy SA, Cannon CP, Wiviott SD, et al. Reduction in recurrent cardiovascular events with intensive lipid-lowering statin therapy compared with moderate lipid-lowering statin therapy after acute coronary syndromes from the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 (Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 22) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;54:2358-62.
7. Stone GW, Maehara A, Lansky AJ, et al; PROSPECT Investigators. A prospective natural-history study of coronary atherosclerosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:226-35.
8. van Velzen JE, Schuijff JD, de Graaf FR, et al. Plaque type and composition as evaluated non-invasively by MSCT angiography and invasively by VH IVUS in relation to the degree of stenosis. *Heart*. 2009;95:1990-6.
9. Hall AS, Barth JH. Universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Heart*. 2009;95:247-9.
10. Reichlin T, Hochholzer W, Bassetti S, et al. Early diagnosis of myocardial infarction with sensitive cardiac troponin assays. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361:858-67.
11. Mills NL, Churchhouse AM, Lee KK, et al. Implementation of a sensitive troponin I assay and risk of recurrent myocardial infarction and death in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome. *JAMA*. 2011;305:1210-16.
12. Dekker MS, Mosterd A, van 't Hof AW, et al. Novel biochemical markers in suspected acute coronary syndrome: systematic review and critical appraisal. *Heart*. 2010;96:1001-10.
13. Bruins Slot MH, Reitsma JB, Rutten FH, et al. Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein in the early diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart*. 2010;96:1957-63.
14. Goodacre SW, Bradburn M, Cross E, et al; RATPAC Research Team. The Randomised Assessment of Treatment using Panel Assay of Cardiac Markers (RATPAC) trial: a randomised controlled trial of point-of-care cardiac markers in the emergency department. *Heart*. 2011;97:190-6.
15. Collinson P, Goodacre SW, Gaze D, et al; Very Early Diagnosis Of Chest Pain By Point Of Care Testing. Comparison of the diagnostic efficiency of a panel of cardiac biomarkers compared to troponin measurement alone in The Randomised Assessment Of Panel Assay Of Cardiac Markers (RATPAC) Trial. *Heart*. 2011. [Epub ahead of print]
16. Than M, Cullen L, Reid CM, et al. A 2-h diagnostic protocol to assess patients with chest pain symptoms in the Asia-Pacific region (ASPECT): a prospective observational validation study. *Lancet*. 2011;377:1077-84.
17. Jain S, Ting HT, Bell M, et al. Utility of left bundle branch block as a diagnostic criterion for acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107:1111-16.
18. De Winter RJ, Verouden NJ, Wellens HJ, et al. A new ECG sign of proximal LAD occlusion. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359:2071-3.
19. Verouden NJ, Koch KT, Peters RJ, et al. Persistent precordial "hyperacute" T-waves signify proximal left anterior descending artery occlusion. *Heart*. 2009;95:1701-6.
20. Grenne B, Eek C, Sjøli B, et al. Acute coronary occlusion in non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome: outcome and early identification by strain echocardiography. *Heart*. 2010;96:1550-6.
21. Champney KP, Frederick PD, Bueno H, et al; NRM Investigators. The joint contribution of sex, age and type of myocardial infarction on hospital mortality following acute myocardial infarction. *Heart*. 2009;95:895-9.
22. Wong CK, Gao W, Stewart RA, et al. Relationship of QRS duration at baseline and changes over 60 min after fibrinolysis to 30-day mortality with different locations of ST elevation myocardial infarction: results from the Hirulog and Early Reperfusion or Occlusion-2 trial. *Heart*. 2009;95:276-82.
23. Goyal A, Mehta SR, Džaz R, et al. Differential clinical outcomes associated with hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia in acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2009;120:2429-37.



24. Yang SW, Zhou YJ, Hu DY, et al; BEAMIS Study Group. Association between admission hypoglycaemia and in-hospital and 3-year mortality in older patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Heart*. 2010;96:1444-50.
25. Yan AT, Yan RT, Huynh T, et al; Canadian Acute Coronary Syndrome Registry 2 Investigators. Understanding physicians' risk stratification of acute coronary syndromes: insights from the Canadian ACS 2 Registry. *Arch Intern Med*. 2009;169:372-8.
26. Jolly SS, Shenkman H, Brieger D, et al; GRACE Investigators. Quantitative troponin and death, cardiogenic shock, cardiac arrest and new heart failure in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes (NSTEMI/ACS): insights from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events. *Heart*. 2011;97:197-202.
27. He LP, Tang XY, Ling WH, et al. Early C-reactive protein in the prediction of long-term outcomes after acute coronary syndromes: a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Heart*. 2010;96:339-46.
28. Eggers KM, Lagerqvist B, Venge P, et al. Prognostic value of biomarkers during and after non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;54:357-64.
29. Damman P, Beijk MA, Kuijt WJ, et al. Multiple biomarkers at admission significantly improve the prediction of mortality in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;57:29-36.
30. Gale CP, Manda SO, Weston CF, et al. Evaluation of risk scores for risk stratification of acute coronary syndromes in the Myocardial Infarction National Audit Project (MINAP) database. *Heart*. 2009;95:221-7.
31. Meune C, Drexler B, Haaf P, et al. The GRACE score's performance in predicting in-hospital and 1-year outcome in the era of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays and B-type natriuretic peptide. *Heart*. 2011;97:1479-83.
32. Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project. Tenth public report 2011. www.ucl.ac.uk/nicor/audits/minap.
33. Nielsen PH, Maeng M, Busk M, et al; DANAMI-2 Investigators. Primary angioplasty versus fibrinolysis in acute myocardial infarction: long-term follow-up in the Danish acute myocardial infarction 2 trial. *Circulation*. 2010;121:1484-91.
34. Saia F, Marrozzini C, Ortolani P, et al. Optimisation of therapeutic strategies for ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction: the impact of a territorial network on reperfusion therapy and mortality. *Heart*. 2009;95:370-6.
35. Huber K, Goldstein P, Danchin N, et al. Network models for large cities: the European experience. *Heart*. 2010;96:164-9.
36. Lambert L, Brown K, Segal E, et al. Association between timeliness of reperfusion therapy and clinical outcomes in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *JAMA*. 2010;303:2148-55.
37. Rathore SS, Curtis JP, Chen J, et al; National Cardiovascular Data Registry. Association of door-to-balloon time and mortality in patients admitted to hospital with ST elevation myocardial infarction: national cohort study. *BMJ*. 2009;338:b1807.
38. Terkelsen CJ, Sorensen JT, Maeng M, et al. System delay and mortality among patients with STEMI treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *JAMA*. 2010;304:763-71.
39. Diercks DB, Owen KP, Kontos MC, et al. Gender differences in time to presentation for myocardial infarction before and after a national women's cardiovascular awareness campaign: a temporal analysis from the Can Rapid Risk Stratification of Unstable Angina Patients Suppress ADverse Outcomes with Early Implementation (CRUSADE) and the National Cardiovascular Data Registry Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network-Get with the Guidelines (NCDR ACTION Registry-GWTG). *Am Heart J*. 2010;160:80-7.e3.
40. Amoroso G, Kiemeneij F. Transradial access for primary percutaneous coronary intervention: the next standard of care? *Heart*. 2010;96:1341-4.
41. Jolly SS, Yusuf S, Cairns J, et al; RIVAL Trial Group. Radial versus femoral access for coronary angiography and intervention in patients with acute coronary syndromes (RIVAL): a randomised, parallel group, multicentre trial. *Lancet*. 2011;377:1409-20.
42. Hetherington SL, Adam Z, Morley R, et al. Primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: changing patterns of vascular access, radial versus femoral artery. *Heart*. 2009;95:1612-18.
43. Patterson T, Foale RA. If the radial artery is the new standard of care in primary percutaneous coronary intervention, why is most intervention done by the femoral approach? *Heart*. 2011;97:521-52.
44. Brueck M, Bandorski D, Kramer W, et al. A randomized comparison of transradial versus transfemoral approach for coronary angiography and angioplasty. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2009;2:1047-54.
45. Lo TS, Nolan J, Fountzopoulos E, et al. Radial artery anomaly and its influence on transradial coronary procedural outcome. *Heart*. 2009;95:410-15.
46. Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Guagliumi G, et al; HORIZONS-AMI Trial Investigators. Heparin plus a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor versus bivalirudin monotherapy and paclitaxel-eluting stents versus bare-metal stents in acute myocardial infarction (HORIZONS-AMI): final 3-year results from a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2011;377:2193-204.
47. Politi L, Sgura F, Rossi R, et al. A randomised trial of target-vessel versus multi-vessel revascularisation in ST-elevation myocardial infarction: major adverse cardiac events during long-term follow-up. *Heart*. 2010;96:662-7.
48. Vlaar PJ, Mahmoud KD, Holmes DR Jr, et al. Culprit vessel only versus multivessel and staged percutaneous coronary intervention for multivessel disease in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a pairwise and network meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:692-703.
49. Kornowski R, Mehran R, Dangas G, et al; HORIZONS-AMI Trial Investigators. Prognostic impact of staged versus "one-time" multivessel percutaneous intervention in acute myocardial infarction: analysis from the HORIZONS-AMI (harmonizing outcomes with revascularization and stents in acute myocardial infarction) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2011;58:704-11.
50. Svilaas T, Vlaar PJ, van der Horst IC, et al. Thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:557-67.
51. Vlaar PJ, Svilaas T, van der Horst IC, et al. Cardiac death and reinfarction after 1 year in the Thrombus Aspiration During Percutaneous coronary Intervention in Acute Myocardial Infarction Study (TAPAS): a 1-year follow-up study. *Lancet*. 2008;371:1915-20.
52. Sardella G, Mancone M, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, et al. Thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention improves myocardial reperfusion and reduces infarct size: the EXPIRA (thrombectomy with export catheter in infarct-related artery during primary percutaneous coronary intervention) prospective, randomized trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53:309-15.
53. De Vita M, Burzotta F, Porto I, et al. Thrombus aspiration in ST elevation myocardial infarction: comparative efficacy in patients treated early and late after onset of symptoms. *Heart*. 2010;96:1287-90.
54. Migliorini A, Stabile A, Rodriguez AE, et al; JETSTENT Trial Investigators. Comparison of AngioJet rheolytic thrombectomy before direct infarct artery stenting with direct stenting alone in patients with acute myocardial infarction: The JETSTENT Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2010;56:1298-306.
55. Haeck JD, Kuijt WJ, Koch KT, et al. Infarct size and left ventricular function in the PROximal Embolic Protection in Acute myocardial infarction and Resolution of ST-segment Elevation (PREPARE) trial: ancillary cardiovascular magnetic resonance study. *Heart*. 2010;96:190-5.



56. Burzotta F, De Vita M, Gu YL, et al. Clinical impact of thrombectomy in acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction: an individual patient-data pooled analysis of 11 trials. *Eur Heart J.* 2009;30:2193-203.
57. Eshaghian S, Shah PK, Kaul S. Advances in antiplatelet treatment for acute coronary syndromes. *Heart.* 2010;96:656-61.
58. Montalescot G, Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, et al; TRITON-TIMI 38 Investigators. Prasugrel compared with clopidogrel in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (TRITON-TIMI 38): double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2009;373:723-31.
59. Cannon CP, Harrington RA, James S, et al; PLATelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes Investigators. Comparison of ticagrelor with clopidogrel in patients with a planned invasive strategy for acute coronary syndromes (PLATO): A randomised double-blind study. *Lancet.* 2010;375:283-93.
60. Iijima R, Byrne RA, Ndrepepa G, et al. Pre-procedural C-reactive protein levels and clinical outcomes after percutaneous coronary interventions with and without abciximab: pooled analysis of four ISAR trials. *Heart.* 2009;95:107-12.
61. Hansen PR, Iversen A, Abdulla J. Improved clinical outcomes with intracoronary compared to intravenous abciximab in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2010;22:278-82.
62. Akerblom A, James SK, Koutouzis M, et al. Eptifibatid is noninferior to abciximab in primary percutaneous coronary intervention: results from the SCAAR (Swedish Coronary Angiography and Angioplasty Registry). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;56:470-5.
63. Zeymer U, Margenet A, Haude M, et al. Randomized comparison of eptifibatid versus abciximab in primary percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: results of the EVA-AMI Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;56:463-9.
64. Smit JJ, van Werkum JW, ten Berg J, et al; Ongoing Tirofiban in Myocardial Infarction Evaluation (On-TIME) Trial Investigators. Prehospital triple antiplatelet therapy in patients with acute ST elevation myocardial infarction leads to better platelet aggregation inhibition and clinical outcome than dual antiplatelet therapy. *Heart.* 2010;96:1815-20.
65. Mehta SR, Boden WE, Eikelboom JW, et al; OASIS 5 and 6 Investigators. Antithrombotic therapy with fondaparinux in relation to interventional management strategy in patients with ST- and non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: an individual patient-level combined analysis of the Fifth and Sixth Organization to Assess Strategies in Ischemic Syndromes (OASIS 5 and 6) randomized trials. *Circulation.* 2008;118:2038-46.
66. Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Guagliumi G, et al; HORIZONS-AMI Trial Investigators. Bivalirudin during primary PCI in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358:2218-30.
67. Wailoo A, Goodacre S, Sampson F, et al. Primary angioplasty versus thrombolysis for acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction: an economic analysis of the National Infarct Angioplasty project. *Heart.* 2010;96:668-72.
68. Horne S, Weston C, Quinn T, et al. The impact of pre-hospital thrombolytic treatment on re-infarction rates: analysis of the Myocardial Infarction National Audit Project (MINAP). *Heart.* 2009;95:559-63.
69. Oldgren J, Wernroth L, Stenestrand U; RIKS-HIA Registry, Sweden. Fibrinolytic therapy and bleeding complications: risk predictors from RIKS-HIA. *Heart.* 2010;96:1451-7.
70. Steg PG, James S, Harrington RA, et al; PLATO Study Group. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes intended for reperfusion with primary percutaneous coronary intervention: A Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial subgroup analysis. *Circulation.* 2010;122:2131-41.
71. James SK, Roe MT, Cannon CP, et al; PLATO Study Group. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes intended for non-invasive management: substudy from prospective randomised PLATelet inhibition and patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial. *BMJ.* 2011;342:d3527.
72. Wijesundera HC, You JJ, Nallamothu BK, et al. An early invasive strategy versus ischaemia-guided management after fibrinolytic therapy for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis of contemporary randomized controlled trials. *Am Heart J.* 2008;156:564-72.
73. Desch S, Eitel I, Rahimi K, et al. Timing of invasive treatment after fibrinolysis in ST elevation myocardial infarction-a meta-analysis of immediate or early routine versus deferred or ischemia-guided randomised controlled trials. *Heart.* 2010;96:1695-702.
74. Chan MY, Sun JL, Newby LK, et al. Long-term mortality of patients undergoing cardiac catheterization for ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* 2009;119:3110-17.
75. Bramlage P, Messer C, Bitterlich N, et al. The effect of optimal medical therapy on 1-year mortality after acute myocardial infarction. *Heart.* 2010;96:604-9.
76. Birkhead JS, Weston CFM, Chen R. Determinants and outcomes of coronary angiography after non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. A cohort study of the Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP). *Heart.* 2009;95:1593-9.
77. Gray HH, Henderson RA, de Belder MA, et al; Guideline Development Group. Early management of unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: summary of NICE guidance. *Heart.* 2010;96:1662-8.
78. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361:1045-57.
79. Yusuf S, Mehta SR, Chrolavicius S, et al. Comparison of fondaparinux and enoxaparin in acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;354:1464-76.
80. Jolly SS, Faxon DP, Fox KA, et al. Efficacy and safety of fondaparinux versus enoxaparin in patients with acute coronary syndromes treated with glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors or thienopyridines: results from the OASIS 5 (Fifth Organization to Assess Strategies in Ischemic Syndromes) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2009;54:468-76.
81. Sculpher MJ, Lozano-Ortega G, Sambrook J, et al. Fondaparinux versus Enoxaparin in non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: short-term cost and long-term cost-effectiveness using data from the Fifth Organization to Assess Strategies in Acute Ischemic Syndromes Investigators (OASIS-5) trial. *Am Heart J.* 2009;157:845-52.
82. Stone GW, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, et al. Bivalirudin for patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;355:2203-16.
83. O'Donoghue M, Boden WE, Braunwald E, et al. Early invasive vs conservative treatment strategies in women and men with unstable angina and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: a meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2008;300:71-80.
84. Riezebos RK, Ronner E, Ter Bals E, et al; OPTIMA trial. Immediate versus deferred coronary angioplasty in non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. *Heart.* 2009;95:807-12.
85. Swanson N, Montalescot G, Eagle KA, et al; GRACE Investigators. Delay to angiography and outcomes following presentation with high-risk, non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: results from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events. *Heart.* 2009;95:211-15.
86. Parikh SV, de Lemos JA, Jessen ME, et al; CRUSADE and ACTION Registry-GWTG Participants. Timing of in-hospital coronary artery bypass graft surgery for non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients results from the National Cardiovascular Data Registry ACTION Registry-GWTG (Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network Registry-Get With The Guidelines). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;3:419-27.



87. Hammill BG, Curtis LH, Schulman KA, et al. Relationship between cardiac rehabilitation and long-term risks of death and myocardial infarction among elderly Medicare beneficiaries. *Circulation*. 2010;121:63-70.
88. Bethell H, Lewin R, Dalal H. Cardiac rehabilitation in the United Kingdom. *Heart*. 2009;95:271-5.
89. Dalal HM, Zawada A, Jolly K, et al. Home based versus centre based cardiac rehabilitation: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2010;340:b5631.
90. Jolly K, Lip GY, Taylor RS, et al. The Birmingham rehabilitation uptake maximisation study (BRUM): a randomised controlled trial comparing home-based with centre-based cardiac rehabilitation. *Heart*. 2009;95:36-42.
91. Lee BC, Hsu HC, Tseng WY, et al. Effect of cardiac rehabilitation on angiogenic cytokines in postinfarction patients. *Heart*. 2009;95:1012-18.
92. Gerber Y, Rosen LJ, Goldbourt U, et al; Israel Study Group on First Acute Myocardial Infarction. Smoking status and long-term survival after first acute myocardial infarction: A population-based cohort study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;54:2382-7.
93. Pell JP, Haw S, Cobbe S, et al. Secondhand smoke exposure and survival following acute coronary syndrome: prospective cohort study of 1261 consecutive admissions among never-smokers. *Heart*. 2009;95:1415-18.
94. Redfern J, Briffa T, Ellis E, et al. Choice of secondary prevention improves risk factors after acute coronary syndrome: 1-year follow-up of the CHOICE (Choice of Health Options In prevention of Cardiovascular Events) randomised controlled trial. *Heart*. 2009;95:468-75.
95. Khavandi A, Khavandi K, Greenstein A, et al. n-3 Polyunsaturated fatty acids are still underappreciated and underused post myocardial infarction. *Heart*. 2009;95:540-1.
96. Kromhout D, Giltay EJ, Geleijnse JM; Alpha Omega Trial Group. n-3 fatty acids and cardiovascular events after myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363:2015-26.
97. Rauch B, Schiele R, Schneider S, et al; OMEGA Study Group. OMEGA, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial to test the effect of highly purified omega-3 fatty acids on top of modern guideline-adjusted therapy after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 2010;122:2152-9.
98. Chew DP, Huynh LT, Liew D, et al. Potential survival gains in the treatment of myocardial infarction. *Heart*. 2009;95:1844-50.
99. Chew DP, Anderson FA, Avezum A, et al; GRACE Investigators. Six-month survival benefits associated with clinical guideline recommendations in acute coronary syndromes. *Heart*. 2010;96:1201-6.
100. Daskalopoulou SS, Delaney JA, Filion KB, et al. Discontinuation of statin therapy following an acute myocardial infarction: a population-based study. *Eur Heart J*. 2008;29:2083-91.
101. Boggon R, van Staa TP, Timmis A, et al. Clopidogrel discontinuation after acute coronary syndromes: frequency, predictors and associations with death and myocardial infarction. A hospital registry-primary care linked cohort (MINAP-GPRD). *Eur Heart J*. 2011. [Epub ahead of print]
102. Shiga T, Hagiwara N, Ogawa H, et al; Heart Institute of Japan Acute Myocardial Infarction-II (HIJAMI-II) Investigators. Sudden cardiac death and left ventricular ejection fraction during long-term follow-up after acute myocardial infarction in the primary percutaneous coronary intervention era: results from the HIJAMI-II registry. *Heart*. 2009;95:216-20.
103. Liew R. Prediction of sudden arrhythmic death following acute myocardial infarction. *Heart* 2010;96:1086-94.
104. Steinbeck G, Andresen D, Seidl K, et al. Defibrillator implantation early after myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361:1427-36.
105. Dorian P, Hohnloser SH, Thorpe KE, et al. Mechanisms underlying the lack of effect of implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy on mortality in high-risk patients with recent myocardial infarction: insights from the Defibrillation in Acute Myocardial Infarction Trial (DINAMIT). *Circulation*. 2010;122:2645-52.
106. Pouleur AC, Barkoudah E, Uno H, et al; VALIANT Investigators. Pathogenesis of sudden unexpected death in a clinical trial of patients with myocardial infarction and left ventricular dysfunction, heart failure, or both. *Circulation*. 2010;122:597-602.